

Opportunities and Risks of Cacao Farming Business In the Province of Zamboanga Del Norte

SHEILA BULFA SOLEDAD - DALUMPINES

St. Vincent's College Incorporated
sheila@svc.edu.ph

Abstract — This study sought to find out the opportunities and risks of cacao farming business in Zamboanga del Norte, Philippines through a survey of local farmers. The study analyzes the profile of the cacao farmers, farm characteristics, operations expenditures, and perceived opportunities and risks of cacao farming business. Findings reveal that while smallholder farms are prevalent, with limited output currently meeting local demand, the potential for growth is significant. The study concludes that the province's favorable conditions, including clay loam soil and a growing market, present more opportunities than risk.

Keywords — *Cacao farming, cacao business, opportunities, risk, agricultural business, sustainability*

I. Introduction

The risk and opportunities associated with cacao farming make the latter a complex process. A high price crop “treasured by ancient civilizations” for thousands of years (Martin, 2016), cacao currently supports a “multibillion-dollar trade that is concerned with the production” of cocoa – or cocoa bean – derived chocolate appreciated worldwide - and its “commercialization”(Teixeira, Thomazella, & Pereira, 2015) (ICCO, 2018). However, the supply and demand gap of cocoa beans is increasing as cacao production specifically in the West African region, the top cocoa producer, has been falling since 2012, (Philippine Cacao, 2017). This is in spite of the fact that every year, an estimated “3 million tons” or more of “cocoa beans” are being produced worldwide” mostly by smallholder farmers in areas of high biodiversity” (Teixeira, Thomazella, & Pereira, 2015).

Moreover, the growing global demand represents an opportunity for the Philippines to grow the economy; its geographic and climatic conditions are suitable to cocoa production on a large scale. The country too is strategically located as well, due to its “proximity to growing markets” (Department of Trade and Industry, 2017). To address the challenge of global decline of cocoa production, the Philippine government pushed for the engagement of individual farmers and cooperators in cocoa production, through the Philippine Cacao Challenge 2020 (CIDAMi, 2016). Its main goal is to become one of the world's top producing cacao countries - translating its output into better livelihoods at the farm level, increased resources and investment at the national level, and a safer, more secure environment for smallholder farmers – farmers that supply the bulk of cocoa production for the world's consumers.

Despite its competitive advantage, and the millions of cacao planting materials that had been distributed and cultivated since 2014 through Department of Environment and Natural Resources–National Greening Program (DENR-NGP), Department of Agriculture-High Value Crops Development Program (DA-HVCDP) and the Philippine Coconut Authority-Kaanib Program (PCA-Kaanib Program)(Philippine Cacao Council, 2016), however, Philippine cacao production is still considered insignificant, producing only at 6,020 MT from the 13,910 hectares (ha) of land planted with cocoa (Philippine Statistical Authority, 2015).

It is in this light that this study is looked into the opportunities and risks of the cacao farming industry in the province of Zamboanga del Norte. Results of the study served as bases for recommending possible policy formulations at the local level to strengthen Zamboanga del Norte’s cacao industry – and for the possible formulation of the Provincial Cacao Roadmap – Zamboanga del Norte’s cocoa production initiative will provide farmers with the necessary tools and resources to take advantage of the rapidly increasing global demand for chocolate or cocoa. This initiative will create economic opportunities for the Philippine chocolate and confectionery industries in Zamboanga del Norte, as the province turns its cacao farming businesses into engines of growth and socio-economic development.

Literature Review

The global cocoa-chocolate value chain (GVC) presents a multi-million dollar opportunity for developing countries like the Philippines. However, despite possessing favorable climatic conditions and a history of cocoa production, the Philippines’ participation remains limited, holding a minuscule global market share of less than 0.01% (UN Comtrade, 2016). This underperformance stems from several key challenges. Specifically, global demand for cocoa far outstrips supply (ICCO, 2016, 2018; CIDAMI, 2016), creating a significant price imbalance. While this presents a lucrative opportunity, the Philippines struggles to capitalize due to limitations in cocoa bean production. Consequently, low-quality seedlings, inefficient farming practices, and limited access to capital and training hinder output (Department of Trade and Industry, 2017). Furthermore, an aging farming population and rural-urban migration further exacerbate the problem, resulting in insufficient domestic beans to support either domestic consumption or export-oriented grinding (Hamrick et al., 2017).

Adding to these production challenges, the cocoa GVC is characterized by an imbalance of power, with aggregators and exporters holding significant control over the market (Department of Trade and Industry, 2017). As a result, smallholder farmers, who constitute the majority of producers, are often fragmented and lack the bargaining power to secure better prices for their beans. This lack of bargaining power, coupled with the Philippines’ low cocoa bean production, constrains its ability to participate in higher-value processing stages within the GVC. In fact, grinding capacity is limited, and the number of large-scale grindings firms is shrinking (Hamrick et al., 2017), hindering the country’s potential to capture greater profits from value-added products. Although the growing popularity of cocoa-based products like tsokolate (tablea) presents a

domestic opportunity, this market is not large enough to significantly impact the country's overall participation in the global GVC.

Despite these significant challenges, significant opportunities exist for the Philippines to enhance its position in the cocoa-chocolate GVC. Indeed, the widening global supply-demand gap offers a chance to capture a larger market share. For instance, a 10% share of the world supply alone could generate substantial income for the country and its procedures (Philippine Cacao Council, 2016). To realize this potential, focusing on improving farm-level productivity, investing in training and technology, and strengthening farmer cooperatives to improve market access are crucial steps. Encouragingly, the government's increased focus on the sector, recognizing the country's climatic advantages, is a positive sign. However, effective policies to address the supply chain bottlenecks and market power imbalances are essential to ensure that the Philippines can fully leverage the global demand for cocoa and participate meaningfully in the cocoa-chocolate GVC.

This need for effective policy is underscored by the fact that the Philippines cocoa industry is experiencing a resurgence, with production volumes increasing since 2006 (Dir. Jennifer E. Remoquillo, 2015). Government initiatives such as R.A. 7900 (High Value Crop Development Program Act), prioritize cocoa production intensification, and growing local and international demand has spurred increased farmer interest (Dir. Jennifer E. Remoquillo, 2015). However, despite this progress, domestic supply significantly lags behind demand, with local production meeting only 25% of the 40,000 MT annual demand (Bureau of Agricultural Statistics, 2017). Specifically, Davao Region accounts for a dominant 80% of the 10,000 MT annual output, while other regions contribute smaller percentages, Cagayan Valley at 4%, Northern Mindanao Region at 3%, and ARMM, MIMAROPA, SOCCKSARGEN, and Zamboanga Peninsula Regions at 2% each. Caraga, Ilocos, CAR, Central Luzon, Bicol, Central, Eastern and Western Visayan Regions each contribute 1% (Bureau of Agricultural Statistics, 2017).

Recognizing the need to bolster the industry and capitalize on the projected global cacao deficit, Senator Grace Poe introduced senate resolution No.920 Poe, Grace, 2015. This resolution, citing the 1987 Philippine Constitution mandate to promote sound agricultural development and agrarian reform Article XIII, Section 5, 1987 Philippine Constitution, urged the senate Committee on Agriculture and Food to investigate the industry's status and formulate supportive legislation. In response to this, the resolution proposed leveraging funds like the Agricultural Competitiveness Enhancement Fund (ACEF) and High-Value Crops Developing Funds to provide credit and support farmers (Poe, 2015). Building on this momentum, further legislative support came from Senator Cynthia A. Villar's Senate Bill No.320 aiming to revive the cacao industry and facilitate participation in international markets. This bill proposed national program led by the Department of Agriculture, focusing on stakeholder education, research technology transfer, international linkages, and market competitiveness (Villar, 2016).

These legislative initiatives have been complemented by concrete collaborations between government agencies and the private sector further demonstrating a commitment to cocoa industry development. For example, the Department of Agrarian Reform (DAR) partnered with Kenner Foods International, Inc., under a “Cacao Production and Purchase Agreement”, to support Agrarian Reform Beneficiaries (ARBs) in Mindanao (Sun Star Philippines, 2013). This involved consolidating production through block farms, providing ARBs with access to global market, and fostering sustainable agribusinesses. Moreover, in 2015, five ARBOs in Zamboanga del Norte utilized an ₱88 million loan from the land bank of the Philippines (LPB) under the Agricultural Production Credit Program (APCP), with DAR assisting in loan application (Adju, 2018; DAR, 2013). The APCP, a collaboration effort involving DA, DAR, DENR, ACPC, and LPB, provides flexible credit to ARBOs for various agricultural activities (Land Bank of the Philippines, 2018). Subsequently, initiatives included a P16 million allocation from the department of agriculture (DA) in 2016, distributed among Zamboanga del Norte, Zamboanga City, and Zamboanga del Sur for cacao planting materials under the DA High Value Crops Development Program (HVCDP) (Simeon, 2016). Finally, the 2017 Cacao Production and Marketing Enterprise project, funded by the World Bank through the Philippine Rural Development Project (DA-PRDP), aimed to modernize the agri-fishery sector through infrastructure development, technology transfer, and information dissemination (Department of Agriculture, 2019). This project, implemented under PRDPs I-REAP program, involved a 45.5 million investment in Zamboanga del Norte, focusing on infrastructure, farming support and enterprise capital for processing and trading (Department of Agriculture, 2019). In short, these multifaceted efforts highlight a concerted push to revitalize the Philippine cocoa industry and address the significant gap between supply and demand.

Cacao, a globally significant crop and the primary ingredients in chocolate production (Department of Trade and Industry, 2017), holds a unique position in the global economy (Gayi & Tsowou, 2016). Its irreplaceable role in chocolate manufacturing (2021-2025 Philippine Cacao Industry Roadmap, 2022; Ramos, 2016), and its purported health benefits (DOST-PCAARRD, 2017) contribute to its economic importance. The Philippines, strategically located in Southeast Asia, possesses a competitive advantage in cacao production due to its favorable climate, soil conditions, and vast coconut farms suitable for intercropping (2017-2022 Philippine Cacao Industry Roadmap, 20217; Ramos, 2018; Business Diary Philippines, 2018). The inherent advantage is further enhanced by the rising consumption of chocolate in Asia, driven by increased incomes and Western lifestyle adoption, creating export opportunities, particularly to nearby markets like China (Ramos, 2018).

Projected global demand for cacao is substantial, with an estimated need of about “4.7 million to 5 million metric tons (MT) by 2020” coupled with a predicted shortage of 1 million MT. Domestically, annual consumptions stands at 50,000 MT, while local supply is significantly lower at approximately 10,000 MT. highlighting a substantial supply gap (2021-2025 Philippine Cacao Industry Roadmap, 2022; DTI-BOI, 2014). This presents a significant opportunity for Philippine cacao growers and manufacturers. However, this opportunity is tempered by the increasing

consumer demand for sustainably produced cocoa beans (UTZ, Rainforest Alliance, Fair Trade Certified) which presents a challenge. This demand is projected to increase from 100,000 tons in 2010 to 500,000 tons in 2020, requiring a significant investment from smallholder farmers who often lack the financial resources to meet these standards (2017-2022 Philippine Cacao Industry Roadmap, 2017). Furthermore, the unique price dynamics of cacao, where prices are higher during peak production seasons, defy traditional supply and demand principles (A Primer on PEF's Priority Commodities: Industry Study on Cacao: Philippine Cacao, 2017), presenting both opportunities and complexities for producers.

Beyond its primary use in chocolate, cacao offers diversified applications. Specifically, six intermediate products – cocoa nibs, cocoa liquor (tablea), cocoa cake, cocoa butter, cocoa powder, and chocolate confectionery blocks – can be derived from cacao beans, along with wet, dried, and dried-fermented beans. This versatility coupled with cacao's nutritional value (Philippine Cacao Industry Roadmap 2021-2025), expands market opportunities. The increasing popularity of cacao-based beverages in cafes and restaurants, both domestically and internationally, further enhances the market potential for tablea and other cocoa products. Moreover, the health and wellness trend positions cacao as health food, further driving demand (DTI-BOI, 2014). This confluence of factors has spurred calls for farmers to explore export opportunities to major international markets (Salazar, 2015), leveraging favorable international market trends and the growing domestic demand (Business Dairy Philippines, 2018). Consequently, the collaborative efforts of government agencies and the private sector aim to boost the cacao industry, creating livelihoods and contributing to economic growth, particularly in rural areas (Lihgawon, 2018). The ambitious goal is to increase production to “50,000 metric tons (MT) of quality fermented beans by 2025 to meet the demand of the export and domestic markets through a 40% annual increase in production” (2021-2025 Philippine Cacao Industry Roadmap, 2022).

The profitability of cacao farming is dependent on several factors. Initial investment costs are relatively low, with estimated costs per hectare ranging from PhP 61,148.00 for intercropping to 89,628.00 for mono-cropping (2021-2025 Philippine Cacao Industry Roadmap, 2022). Therefore, a promising return on investment (ROI) can be achieved within three years, with net income doubling in relation to production costs by the third year (A Primer on PEF's Priority Commodities: Industry study on Cacao). Nevertheless, ensuring the sustainability of production is crucial for long-term profitability. The growing interest in cacao farming among Filipino farmers is driven by favorable market conditions and increasing global prices (Business Diary Philippines, 2018). For example, in Zamboanga del Norte, intercropping cacao with coconuts is maximizing land use and boosting farmer income (DA-PRDP, 2018).

In addition to increased production, the Philippines' Farm Tourism Development Act of 2016 (Republic Act 10816) (Philippines, 2015) present an opportunity for cacao farmers to diversify their income streams. While farm tourism is not yet a major contributor to tourism receipts, it offers farmers a complementary business model, allowing them to generate income

through tourism packages that incorporate cacao cultivation , harvesting , and value-added product creation (Simeon,2016). Furthermore, the growing cacao and chocolate industry can significantly boost farm tourism, attracting both domestic and foreign visitors (The Philippine Star, 2018). Government support for farm tourism (Department of Tourism, 2018), and calls for cacao farmers to engage in agri-entrepreneurship and establish from schools (Palicte, 2018) further highlight this diversification strategy.

Despite those opportunities, the Philippine cacao industry faces several challenges. Specially ,the adoption of modern agriculture techniques remain low, hindering participation in the global value chain (Department of Trade and Industry, 2017).As a result ,many farmers rely on traditional practices, resulting in lower yields .Moreover ,limited financial capacity and access to capital prevent farmers from adopting modern techniques ,upgrading equipment ,and obtaining necessary certification (Hamrick et al., 2017).Adding to these difficulties ,the aging farmer population and the shift of younger generations to other careers pose a significant threat to the industry's long term sustainability (De Guzman , 2018; Ocampo, 2018).

High input costs, particularly fertilizer, represent a major obstacle .Soil erosion and nutrient depletion necessitate fertilization, but the high cost of imported fertilizers makes them unaffordable for many farmers (De Guzman, 2018). Consequently ,understanding fertilizer application based on the growth stage of cocoa trees is crucial for maximizing benefits (Conservation Alliance ,20153).Cacao disease and pests ,exacerbated by tropical conditions ,cause significant yield losses (Afoakwa, 2016),impacting both quantity and quality . The lack of adequate infrastructure, including farm-to- market roads, irrigation systems, drying facilities and milling centers, further hampers the industry growth (De Guzman, 2018). In addition the fragmented nature of smallholder procedures and the dominance of cocoa bean aggregators and exporters limit economies of scale and bargaining power(Department of Trade and industry ,2017).Finally ,the aging farmer population ,with an average age of 57(De Guzman ,2018), and the global trend of declining young farmer participation in cocoa farming due to poor benefits (Fairtrade Foundation ,2020) pose a long-term demographic challenge. The educational attainment of Filipino farmers, with a majority being secondary school finishers (Briones, 2017), also needs to be considered in addressing the industry's challenge.

The global narrative surrounding cocoa production paints a complex picture. While agricultural growth, particularly in high-value crops like cocoa, has been strongly linked to poverty reduction in developing countries (Christiaensen et al., 2011; Grewal, Grunfeld, & Sheehan, 2012), the reality for cocoa farmers is often nuanced. Studies across various regions highlight the potential for increased income and improved livelihoods through cocoa farming (Obiri et al., 2017; Kalimang'asi et al., 2014; Sefriadi et al., 2011; Azhar & Lee, 2004), but also acknowledge significant challenges that hinder the achieve of these positive outcomes.

Studies from various countries illustrate the potential and pitfalls of cocoa production as poverty alleviation tool. In china, agricultural growth, including the success of the household

responsibility system, played a crucial role in initial poverty reduction (Grewal, Grunfeld, & Sheehan, 2012). Similarly, Ghana's economic transformation was significantly impacted by broad-based agricultural development, with agricultural growth contributing substantially to GDP growth (Diao, 2014). However, these successes are often tempered by various constraints. Studies consistently point the significant impact of pests and diseases (Estelle, 2015; Aneani et al., 2017; Sonwa et al., 2015), climate change (Ofori–Boateng & Insah, 2014; Gateau-Rey et al., 2018; Schroth et al., 2016), and limited access to credit and markets (Baloyi, 2010; Magesa et al., 2014; Quartey & Asamoah, 2018) as major obstacles to sustainable cocoa production and income generation. Furthermore, the labor-intensive nature of cocoa production and income generation. Furthermore, the labor-intensive nature of cocoa farming (Fahmid et al., 2018), coupled with declining interest among younger generations (Fahmid et al., 2015; Aphunu & Atoma, 2011; Adeogun, 2015), poses a long-term threat to industry sustainability. The relationship between farm size and productivity is also complex, with some studies suggesting an inverse relationship between farm size and output (Ahmad & Khan Qureshi, 2018; Yan et al., 2019), while others highlight the advantages of larger farms in terms of technology adoption and economies of scale (Arias, 2017).

The Philippine cocoa industry mirrors many of the global trends, with smallholder farmers forming the backbone of production (Amparo et al., 2018; Lingatong, 2018). While cocoa offers significant income potential (Nabua et al., 2013), and cooperative have demonstrated success in enhancing market access and efficiency (Sumalde & Quilloy, 2015), the industry faces similar challenges. Specifically, price fluctuations (Sarmiento et al., 2015), labor constraints (Buan et al., 2014; Lingatong, 2018; Santiago & Roxas, 2015), high input costs (Lingatong, 2018), and limited access to credit (Geron et al., 2016; Limbo, 2017) remain significant hurdles. The potential of agri-tourism (Recio et al., 2014; Zocal et al., 2019) offers a diversification strategy, but its impact on poverty reduction needs further investigation. Government intervention, such as the Cacao Challenge 2020 and various credit programs (Gereon et al., 2016), aim to address these challenges, but ultimately, the success of these efforts hinges on the implementation of effective and coordinated policies.

II. Methodology

Person involved in the survey questionnaires was the farmer himself to gather all the necessary information. Four (4) groupings were surveyed, namely: Members of the five Agrarian Reform Beneficiary Organizations who ventured in the cacao production under the DAR-Kennemer production and purchase agreement; farmers-cooperators who availed the Plant Now, Pay Later scheme of the DA – Philippine Rural Development Project in partnership with the three Multi-purpose Cooperatives – a Credit Cooperatives (PIMCO, POEMCO & FACOMA); Local Government Unit farmer – beneficiaries who participated in the cacao dispersal program, and the independent – self-financed cacao farmers.

A sample of 314 respondents from 1,149 was determined using Slovene's formula selected from the 12 component municipalities and one city which are currently concentrating on cacao farming and production as identified by the Department of Agrarian Reform through its Agrarian Reform Beneficiary Organizations (ARBOs), Provincial Rural Development Project (PIMCO, POEMCO & FACOMA), the Local Government Units with its Cacao Production Dispersal Program, and the Department of Trade & Industry who serves as the monitoring agency for the cacao production and processing in the Province for the independent cacao producers. The selection of the farmers was based on the following criteria: (a) must belong to any of the four groups of respondents included in the study; (b) availability of the farmer during the conduct of fieldwork; and (c) permission from the farmer to be included in the study. The farmers were randomly selected from the list provided by the Municipal Agrarian Reform Office for the Agrarian Reform Beneficiaries, Municipal Agriculture Office for the Local Government Unit's beneficiaries, Department of Trade and Industry for the independent cacao farmers, and for the Philippine Rural Development Project, the list of beneficiaries were provided by the three Multipurpose Cooperatives involved in the project implementation, namely PIMCO, POEMCO and FACOMA. Of the 314 copies of survey questionnaires, only 306 were usable, as the eight (8) others remained for the most part unanswered.

Printed questionnaires were the main data collection tool, supplemented by interviews with respondents. The questionnaire was pilot-tested on 43 cacao farmers who were beneficiaries from the Philippine Coconut Authority (PCA), LGU cacao dispersal program, and independent farmers. A value of 0.888 Cronbach's Alpha using the Siegle reliability calculator was obtained which is within the range of good reliability.

A Likert modified scaling type was used in this study to survey the perception of the farmers on the

relative degree of importance on the various farming activities – profitability, labor, production, investment and management - by asking the levels of opportunities or risks from a series of statements. This approach assumes some underlying subjective dimension such as the “degree of importance”. Respondents were given the prospect to select from the four items in a choice set that maximize the difference between them on an underlying scale of significance.

III. Results and Discussion

Profile of the Cacao Business in Zamboanga del Norte

The study profiled 306 cacao farms in the province. Most (72.22%) were owned by the respondents and located in barangays with clay loam soil, which is suitable for cacao. This indicates that farmers are guided and aware of the soil requirement for cacao cultivation. Farms sizes were predominantly small, with a mean of 1.86 hectares. As mentioned in the Philippine

2017-2022 Philippine Cacao Industry Roadmap, 90% of existing farms devoted to cacao cultivation are practically small in terms of land size. This ownership profile holds true even in the global scenario where most cacao farms are also small, ranging from 1-3 hectares (Bureau of Plant Industry, 2016). Planting density averaged 703 trees per hectare, thereby exceeding the PCA recommendation of 600. The cacao tree – which produces the cocoa bean – is fragile, capable of growing only in a narrow band 15 degrees north or south of the equator.

As with other “orchard” crops, cocoa farming requires time, with cacao trees yielding their first pods approximately two to three years after planting (International Cocoa Initiatives). However, the farmer-respondents planted the Philippines cacao clone varieties that can start to flower before it reaches 2 years from planting such as the NSIC-Cc-99-01 (ICS 40) which generally start to flower at the age of 17.63 months, which fruiting follows at 19.63 months, while NSIC Cc-99-05 (BR 25) first flowering starts at 16.12 months and fruiting follows at 17.70 months. Whereas NSIC Cc-99-06 (K1) start to flower at 23.20 months and bears fruit at 25.10 months and NSIC Cc-99-07 (K2) start to flower at 21.10 months and bears fruit at 24.12 months (Department of Agriculture). Despite planting these high-yielding varieties, and having mostly mature trees (3-4 years old at most), only 14.71% were fully productive, the remainder were still flowering. These are partly attributed to the non-application of the farm inputs on the specified frequency due to the delays in the releasing of fertilizers, pesticides and other farm inputs to the program beneficiaries.

Cocoa farmers’ investment decision in cocoa farming in the cocoa value chain is an essential factor

towards the development of the cocoa sector (Segun, 2016). Comparable to cultivating fruit bearing trees and other high value crops, the possibilities of high yields and income from cocoa is generally anchored on the amount of investment from land preparation to harvesting (A Primer on PEF’s Priority Commodities: Industry Study on Cacao). In the Province, most farmers (64.38%) invested an averaged of ₱100,000 per hectare, yet 63.07% earned less than ₱100,000 annually from the sales of cacao beans, while DTI estimates that the projected gross annual income of beyond ₱100, 000.00 is expected only in the seventh year onwards. The province very minimal farm output from 2016 to 2020 was not enough to supply the local grinding demands. The average selling price of dried and wet cacao beans was within ₱88.00 to ₱95.00 per kilogram and 30.00 to 32.00 per kilogram respectively; and 49.02% of the farmer-respondents sold their produce directly to wholesalers, such as Kenninger Foods International Inc., who served as consolidators of cacao products. It must be noted that the Agrarian Reform Beneficiaries Organizations (ARBOs) were under a loan grant with the Land bank of the Philippines payable in 5 years after the release of the grant. The grants were computed based on per hectare of land cultivated with cacao. Also, Piñan-PiMCO and Polanco-POEMCO cacao projects were likewise funded by the same lending institution but on different financing scheme since the loan was made by the Cooperative itself.

The amount in turn was being loaned to its members who will venture into cacao production under the Philippine Rural Development Project.

Farmers Perceptions on the Opportunities and Risk Relative to Cacao Farming Business in the Province of Zamboanga del Norte

Table 1 Opportunities and Risks of Cacao Farming Business as Perceived by the Respondents in the area of Profitability

Profitability	Weighted Mean	Interpretation
a. Highly suitable for intercropping and other mixed farming system for sufficient earnings for the next 10 years.	3.774	Certainly an opportunity
b. Huge local market demand and higher local & international market prices.	3.771	Certainly an opportunity
c. Best cacao seeds acquired to increase income.	3.699	Certainly an opportunity
d. Increased dollar earnings through exports.	3.6895	Certainly an opportunity
e. High income crop if productive capacity is attained.	3.6536	Certainly an opportunity
f. Offering of tax incentives by Philippine government	3.650	Certainly an opportunity
g. Expenses reduction made.	3.4150	Certainly an opportunity
h. Procurement of cheaper farm machineries	3.2843	Certainly an opportunity
i. Availability of marcotted seedlings	3.00	Probably an opportunity
j. High prices of fertilizers	1.8627	Probably a risk
Average Weighted Mean	3.37991	Certainly an opportunity

Table 1 show that respondents viewed most aspects of cacao farming as opportunities (weighted mean 3.2843 - 3.774), with the suitability for intercropping and long-term earnings (3.774) and future market demand (3.771) rated highest. Whereas, high fertilizer prices (1.8627) were seen as a risk. The availability of marcotted seedlings was considered a probable opportunity (3.00). Overall, farmers perceived cacao farming as profitable (weighted mean 3.37991), citing high market demand and intercropping potential. The present finding is supported in the study of Obiri, Bright, McDonald, Anglaaere, & Cobbina (2017) which state that cocoa production is profitable and there is a corresponding improvement in the rural livelihood as well as enhanced farm sustainability. Likewise, the present finding is corroborated by Matissek, et al. (2012) whose study revealed that sustainability standards are increasingly being applied in cocoa production, as demand for raw cocoa will continue to rise - training, improved production methods, rising productivity and promotion of diversification guarantee that cocoa of a consistently high quality and in quantities to meet market needs is offered by farmers, thus securing their long-term livelihoods.

Table 2 Opportunities and Risks of Cacao Farming Business as Perceived by the Respondents in the Area of Labor

Labor Resources	Weighted Mean	Interpretation
a. Family/cooperative members are actively involved in the practical work on the farm.	3.706	Certainly an opportunity
b. Farmers and hired labor should undergo proper trainings.	3.702	certainly an opportunity
c. Projects are being set up to try out better farming methods.	3.539	Certainly an opportunity
d. Farmers should learn how to take care of the hand pumps for watering and other machineries.	3.523	Certainly an opportunity
e. Farmers in the Zambo. Norte have little trouble finding affordable hired cacao farm labor	2.92	Probably an opportunity
f. Farmers in the Zambo. Norte have little trouble finding reliable hired farm labor to work in the cacao plantation.	2.320	Probably a risk
g. Hired farm labor has limited technical knowledge and skills to improve cocoa cultivation systems and practices.	1.895	Probably a risk
h. Dwindling interest of young farmers in engaging cocoa production.	2.180	Probably a risk
i. Families are large because these farmers need children to help them in the fields.	2.163	Probably a risk
Average Weighted Mean	2.883	Probably an opportunity

Regarding labor (Table 2), respondents' rated family/cooperative involvement highest (mean 3.706), highlighting the family-oriented nature of farming and reduced labor costs. Training for farmers and hired labor (3.702) and testing improved farming methods (3.539) also scored well. Conversely, limited skills of hired labor (1.895), declining youth interest (2.180), and reliance on large families for farm labor (2.163) were perceived as risk. The result is concomitant with the findings of Feder (2016) who posited that despite of the increased scale in farm management, in many advanced countries the family remains the main source of farm labor. Hired labor supervision costs tend to favor family farming as the equilibrium institution.

Table 3 Opportunities and Risks of Cacao Farming Business as Perceived by the Respondents in the Area of Production

Production	Weighted Mean	Interpretation
a. Government renders various support services to upgrade & expand cocoa farming system.	3.703	Certainly an opportunity
b. Good agro-climatic endowment	3.719	Certainly an opportunity
c. Availability of areas for expansion under mono-cropping or intercropping for production expansion	3.673	Certainly an opportunity
d. Limited access to sources of planting and grafting materials.	1.967	Probably a risk
e. Availability of high yielding clones in the country.	3.284	Probably an opportunity
f. Substitution of low quality cocoa butter with vegetable oils in some applications	1.886	Probably a risk
g. Non-perishable after processing	3.585	Certainly an opportunity
h. Limited access to technology and post harvesting facilities.	1.892	Probably a risk
i. Prevalence of pests and diseases.	1.588	Probably a risk
Average Weighted Mean	2.81	Probably an opportunity

Regarding cacao production (table 3), respondents identified favorable climate (3.719), government support (3.703), land availability for expansion (3.673), and the non-perishable nature of processed beans (3.585), as significant opportunities. High-yielding clones were also seen as probable opportunity (3.284). On the contrary, limited access to planting materials (1.967), technology/post-harvest facilities (1.892), cocoa butter substitution (1.886), and pest/disease prevalence (1.588) were considered risks. Overall, cacao production was viewed as probable opportunity (mean 2.81). Lima, Almeida, ob Nout, & Zwietering (2011) posited that the quality of commercial cocoa beans primarily relies on the combination of factors that include the type of planting material, the agricultural practices, and the post-harvest processing such as the fermentation of the cocoa beans which is still considered the most relevant aspect since the process is considered as the precursors which the cocoa flavor arise.

Table 4 Opportunities and Risks of Cacao Farming Business as Perceived by the Respondents in the Area of Capitalization

Capitalization/Investment	Weighted Mean	Interpretation
a. Expected returns of cacao farming can be attained	3.784	certainly an opportunity
b. Government provides efficient support to cacao farmers.	3.549	certainly an opportunity
c. Farmers/cooperative has sufficient funds from Government	3.556	certainly an opportunity
d. Limited credit facilities.	2.199	probably a risk
e. High prices of fertilizers.	1.598	probably a risk
f. Under-investment and gaps in financing growth and scale	1.450	certainly a risk
g. Lending institutions not accustomed to seasonal cash flows.	1.225	certainly a risk
h. Transportation facilities must be provided.	3.611	certainly an opportunity
i. Disbursed borrowers lack financial management skills and knowledge.	1.360	certainly a risk
Average Weighted Mean	2.23	Probably a risk

Concerning investment (table 4), respondents viewed potential returns through proper planning and investment (3.784), transportation access (3.611), and government funding (3.556) as significant opportunities. Conversely, lenders' unfamiliarity with seasonal farming cash flows (1.225), farmers' limited financial management skills (1.360), and underinvestment leading to value chain inefficiencies (1.450) were seen as major risk. Extending credit to farmers' boosts farm growth and household incomes, as farm income primarily supports families (Dabone, Osei, & Petershie, 2014). Also, sustainable cocoa production significantly impacts farmers' lives, particularly smallholder farmers with limited resources (Houston & Wyer, 2012), besides public-private partnerships are improving sustainable cocoa farming and farmer livelihoods. However, Asamoah & Amoah (2015) asserted that rural farmers struggle to access credit due to low incomes and limited savings. Thus, microfinance schemes, with their peer support and group guarantees, offer a solution by mobilizing savings and fostering a repayment solution.

Table 5 Opportunities and Risks of Cacao Farming Business as Perceived by the Respondents in the Area of Management

Farm Management	Weighted Mean	Interpretation
a. Poor market access and fragmented linkages	1.604	Probably a risk
b. Secured coordination among market, farmers, and other support services.	3.438	Probably an opportunity
c. Internationally recognized cocoa bean quality standards	2.026	Probably a risk
d. Limited business or marketing skills.	1.716	Probably a risk
e. Inadequate Research & Development and extension services.	1.712	Probably a risk
f. Limited measures to counteract climate or disaster risks.	1.559	Probably a risk
g. Knowledge on for technician/supervisor on management functions – planning, organizing, leading and controlling.	3.709	certainly an opportunity
h. Provision of water reservoir in case of drought.	3.484	probably an opportunity
i. Cacao farm to be free from any farm animals.	3.202	probably an opportunity
Average weighted mean	2.494	probably a risk

In table 5, respondents identified several opportunities in cacao farming management, such as skilled technicians (3.709), water reservoirs (3.484), coordinated market access (3.438), and pest control (3.202). Conversely, perceived risks, included limited disaster mitigation (1.559), poor market access (1.604), insufficient business skills (1.716), inadequate R&D/extension (1.712), and unforced quality standards (2.026). Overall, farm management was perceived as a probable risk (weighted mean 2.494). Baloyi (2010) claimed that smallholder farmers faced marketing challenges due to limited access to production resources (land, water, infrastructure, labor, capital and management) and agricultural support services. These therefore restricts their participation in high value-market markets, with most sales occurring locally, or at the farm gate. Also, lack of access to markets and market information further disadvantages the farmers, leading to reliance on subsistence farming and reduced bargaining power (Magesa, Michael, & Ko, 2014). Thus, improved access to resources and market information is crucial for smallholder cacao farmers to thrive.

Table 6 Test of Difference Between the Opportunities and Risks of The Cacao Farming Business as Perceived by The Respondents

Descriptors	Paired Differences					T	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Interpretation
	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference					
				Lower	Upper				
Profitability	2.13200E2	129.16037	40.84409	120.80424	305.59576	5.220	9	.001	Has difference significant
Labor Resources	9.30000E1	179.48746	59.82915	-44.96628	230.96628	1.554	8	.159	No difference significant
Production	7.18889E1	221.73771	73.91257	-98.55380	242.33158	.973	8	.359	No difference significant
Investment	-5.22222	267.08415	89.02805	-210.52128	200.07683	-.059	8	.955	No difference significant
Farm Management	-2.11111	227.83352	75.94451	-177.23945	173.01723	-.028	8	.979	No difference significant

As reflected in Table 6, the t-statistics is lower than the specified alpha level of .05, thus, there is a statistically significant difference between opportunities and risks associated with cacao farming business in terms of profitability. On the contrary, all the four variables' computed two-tailed p-values are greater than the specified alpha level of .05, thus, there is no statistically significant difference between opportunities and risks associated with cacao farming business in terms of labor resources, production, investment and farm management as perceived by the respondents.

IV. Conclusion

Engagement in agri-business encompasses opportunities and risks associated with investment, labor, production, profitability and farm management. Based on the findings of the study, opportunities for cacao farming business in Zamboanga del Norte outweigh its risks.

REFERENCES

- [1] A Primer on PEF's Priority Commodities: Industry Study on Cacao . (n.d.). Philippines.
- [2] Adeniyi, D. (2019, January 17). Diversity of Cacao Pathogens and Impact on Yield and Global Production. Science for Sustainability of Global Cocoa Economy. doi:10.5772/intechopen.81993
- [3] Adeogun, S. (2015). Participatory Diagnostic Survey of Constraints to Youth Involvement in Cocoa Production in Cross River State of Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Sciences. doi:10.2298/jas1502211
- [4] Adju, M. D. (2018, July 15). (S. S. Dalumpines, Interviewer)
- [5] Afoakwa, E. O. (2016). Cocoa Diseases and Pests and their Effects on Chocolate Quality. New Jersey, US: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. doi:10.1002/9781118913758
- [6] Afoakwa, E. O. (2016). World Cocoa Production, Processing and Chocolate Consumption Pattern. USA: Wiley Online Library.

- [7] Agbeniyi, S., Ogunlade, M., & Oluyole, K. (2016, March 15). Fertilizer use and Cocoa Production in Cross River State, Nigeria. *ARPN Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 5(3). Retrieved June 30, 2019, from www.arpnjournals.com
- [8] Agriculture Farming. (2019). Cocoa Cultivation Information Guide. AgriFarming. India: Agriculture Farming India. Retrieved April 23, 2019, from www.AgriFarming.in
- [9] Ahmad, M., & Khan Qureshi, S. (2018, December 8). Recent Evidence on Farm Size and Land Productivity: Implications for Public Policy. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 38(4), 1135-1153.
- [10] Amparo, J. M., Dyball, R., Davila, F., Maria Emilinda T. Mendoza, C. E., Malenab, M. C., & Francisco, J. A. (2018). Smallholder Commodity Systems in High-Value Crops: The Case of Calamansi and Jackfruit in the Philippines. Southeast Asian Regional Center for Graduate Study and Research in Agriculture: Policy Brief.
- [11] Aneani, F., Adu-Acheampong, R., & Sakyi-Dawson, O. (2017). Exploring Opportunities for Enhancing Innovation in Agriculture: The Case of Cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) Production in Ghana. *Sustainable Agriculture Research*. doi:10.5539/sar.v7n1p33
- [12] Anga, Jean-Marc. (2014). The World Cocoa Economy: Current Status, Challenges and Prospects. International Cocoa Organization. International Cocoa Organization.
- [13] Aphunu, A., & Atoma, C. (2011). Rural Youths' Involvement in Agricultural Production In Delta Central Agricultural Zone: Challenge To Agricultural Extension Development In Delta State. *Journal of Agricultural Extension*. doi:10.4314/jae.v14i2.64123
- [14] Arellano Law Foundation. (2010). The Law Phil Project. Retrieved from [lawphil.net](http://www.lawphil.net): <http://www.lawphil.net>
- [15] Arias, J. (2017, January). Does Farm Size Matter for Productivity? IICAConexión. USA: Farm Foundation. Retrieved February 10, 2019, from Farm Foundation Web site: <http://www.iica.int/en/press/news/does-farm-size-matter-productivity%C2%A0>
- [16] Arkkelin, D. (2014). Using SPSS to Understand Research and Data Analysis (Vol. 1). Psychology Curricular Materials. Retrieved from http://scholar.valpo.edu/psych_oer/1
- [17] Article XII, Section 1 of the 1987 Philippine Constitution. (1987). Philippines.
- [18] Article XIII, Section 5 of the 1987 Philippine Constitution. (1987). Philippines.
- [19] Asamoah, M., & Amoah, F. M. (2015). Microcredit Schemes: A Tool for Promoting Rural Savings Capacity among Poor Farm Families: A Case Study in the Eastern Region of Ghana. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*. doi:10.4236/jss.2015.31003
- [20] Asamoah, M., Ansah, F. O., Anchirinah, V., Aneani, F., & Agyapong, D. (2013, May). Insight into the Standard of Living of Ghanaian Cocoa Farmers. *Greener Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 3(5), 363 - 370. Retrieved September 14, 2018, from www.gjournals.org
- [21] Awotide, D. O., Kehinde, A. L., & Akorede, T. O. (2015). Metafrontier Analysis of Access to Credit and Technical Efficiency among Smallholder Cocoa Farmers in Southwest Nigeria. *International Business Research*, 8(1). doi:10.5539/ibr.v8n1p132
- [22] Azhar, I., & Lee, M. T. (2004). Perspective for Cocoa Cultivation in Malaysia: Re-look at the Economic Indicators. *Malaysian Cocoa Journal*, 1. Retrieved March 25, 2019, from <https://www.koko.gov.my/lkm/getfile.asp?id=5065>
- [23] Baloyi, J. (2010). An Analysis of Constraints Facing Smallholder Farmers in the Agribusiness Value Chain : A Case Study of Farmers in the Limpopo Province. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*.
- [24] Baru, L. (2011, September 24). Cocoa Cultivation Techniques. Retrieved April 23, 2019, from <http://cocoa-plants.blogspot.com/2011/09/cocoa-cultivation-techniques.html>
- [25] Briones, R. (2017, November). Characterization of Agricultural Workers in the Philippines. Philippine Institute for Development Studies Discussion Paper Series(2017-31). Quezon City, Philippines: Philippine Institute for Development Studies. Retrieved from <https://www.pids.gov.ph>
- [26] Buan, M. L., Ortiz, E. J., & Vismonte, L. E. (2014). Impact of Labor, Trade Liberalization, and Credit Access to the Domestic Supply of Coffee and Cocoa. University of Santo Tomas.

- [27] Bureau of Agricultural Research. (2012). Google. Retrieved from Bureau of Agricultural Research Philippines: <https://www.bar.gov.ph/index.php/agfishtech-home/crops/206-plantation-crops/1278-cacao-con-t>
- [28] Bureau of Agricultural Statistics. (2017). Selected Statistics on Agriculture. Manila: Department of Agriculture. Retrieved September 5, 2018
- [29] Bureau of Plant Industry. (2016). 2016-2022 Philippine Cacao Roadmap.
- [30] Business Diary Philippines. (2018, May 4). Cacao Production Guide. Retrieved November 27, 2018, from Business Diary Philippine: <https://businessdiary.com.ph>
- [31] Business Dictionary. (n.d.). Business Dictionary. Retrieved August 29, 2018, from Business Dictionary Web Site: <http://www.businessdictionary.com>
- [32] Cacho, Katlene O. (2013, May 9). Cacao Contract-Growing Expands. Davao: SunStar Philippines. Retrieved April 25, 2019, from <https://www.sunstar.com.ph/article/283459/Cacao-contract-growing-expands>
- [33] Cayon, M. (2019, January 18). Cacao Top List of Agri Goods Backed by PRDP. Business Mirror. Cotabato City, Philippines. Retrieved September 26, 2019, from <https://businessmirror.com.ph/2019/01/18/cacao-tops-list-of-agri-goods-backed-by-prdp/>
- [34] Cerda, R., Deheuvels, O., Calvache, D., Niehaus, L., Saenz, Y., Kent, J., . . . Somarriba, E. (2014). Contribution of Cocoa Agroforestry Systems to Family Income and Domestic Consumption: Looking Toward Intensification. *Agroforestry Systems*. doi:10.1007/s10457-014-9691-8
- [35] CIDAMi. (2018). The Philippine 2020 Cacao Challenge. Cacao Industry Development Association of Mindanao, Inc. Davao City: WordPress .
- [36] CIDAMI. (2019). Local Cacao Price. CIDAMI Newsletter. Philippines: CIDAMI.
- [37] Conservation Alliance. (2013, July). Conservation Alliance Organization. Retrieved April 23, 2019, from Conservation Alliance Organization Website: www.conservationalliance.org
- [38] Conservation Alliance. (2013, July). Sustainable Cocoa Production: Farmer Trainers Manual. Accra, Ghana: Conservation Alliance Organization.
- [39] Dabone, A. J., Osei, B. A., & Petershie, B. E. (2014). The Determinants of Access to Credit for Cash Crop Production in Ghana: A Case Study of the Cocoa Industry. *Developing Country Studies*.
- [40] DA-PRDP. (2018, June 26). About Us: Department of Agriculture. Retrieved December 15, 2018, from prdp-da.gov.ph: <http://prdp.da.gov.ph/farmer-co-ops-to-strengthen-cacao-production-marketing-in-zambo-norte>
- [41] DAR. (2013). Zamboanga del Norte ARBOS Venture in Cacao Production. Retrieved October 21, 2018, from www.dar.gov.ph
- [42] David, B. (2017, March 17). Acedia: One Fundamental Cause of Philippine Poverty. *Buisness Economic Journal*.
- [43] Davidson, J. S. (2016). Why the Philippines Chooses to Import Rice. *Critical Asian Studies*, 100 - 122. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1080/14672715.2015.11291>
- [44] De Guzman, S. S. (2018, June 18). Agriculture is Dying in the Philippines. Retrieved from philstar Global: <http://philstar.com>
- [45] Department of Agriculture. (2018, June 26). Farmer Co-ops to Strengthen Cacao Production, Marketing in Zambo Norte. Manila, Philippines: Department of Agriculture. Retrieved September 30, 2019, from <http://prdp.da.gov.ph/farmer-co-ops-to-strengthen-cacao-production-marketing-in-zambo-norte/>
- [46] Department of Agriculture. (2019). Towards Inclusive Growth through Broad-based Rural Agro-Industrial Development. Philippines. Retrieved September 26, 2019, from <http://prdp.da.gov.ph/about-us/overview/>
- [47] Department of Agriculture. (n.d.). Cacao Production Guide. Cacao Production Guide. Department of Agriculture.
- [48] Department of Agriculture. (n.d.). Department of Agriculture: Cacao Production Guide. Cacao Production Guide. Philippines: Department of Agriculture-Bureau of Plant Industry. Retrieved October 25, 2018, from <http://www.bpi.da.gov.ph>

- [49] Department of Tourism. (2018, October 11). Opening Statement of Secretary Bernadette Romulo-Puyat. Department of Tourism Gazette. Manila, Philippines: Department of Tourism - Philippines.
- [50] Department of Trade & Industry. (2018, September 5). "Extent of Cacao Production Zambo. Norte". Dipolog City, Zamboanga del Norte, Philippines.
- [51] Department of Trade and Industry. (2017). Policy Brief: The Philippines in the Cocoa-Chocolate Global Value Chain. Manila: Department of Trade and Industry.
- [52] Department of Trade and Industry. (2017). The Philippines in the Cocoa-Chocolate Global Value Chain. Department of Trade and Industry Policy Brief Series No. 2017-09.
- [53] Department of Trade and Industry. (n.d.). Cacao Production. Cacao Production. Philippines: DTI Regional Operation Group - Negosyo Center Program Management Unit. Retrieved from ngeosyocenter.gov.ph
- [54] Diao, X. (2014). Economic Importance of Agriculture for Sustainable Development and Poverty Reduction: Findings from a Case Study in Ghana. Global Forum on Agriculture. Paris: OECD.
- [55] Diouf, J., Bâge, L., & Bertini, C. A. (2015). Reducing Poverty and Hunger: The Critical Role of Agriculture. World Food Program.
- [56] Dir. Jennifer E. Remoquillo. (2015). Department of Agriculture: High Value Crop Development Program. Retrieved from <http://hvcdp.da.gov.ph/>: <http://hvcdp.da.gov.ph/>
- [57] DOST-PCAARRD. (2017). Taster Future for the Philippine Cacao Industry. DOST Official Gazette. Manila, Philippines: Department of Science and Technology.
- [58] DTI - BOI. (2014). Cacao/Tablea - Securing the Future of Philippine Industries. Retrieved December 11, 2018, from Department of Trade and Industry: <http://www.industry.gov.ph>
- [59] Effendy, Pratama, M. F., Rauf, R. A., Antara, M., Basir-Cyio, M., Mahfudz, & Muhardi. (2019, April 4). Factors Influencing the Efficiency of Cocoa Farms: A Study to Increase Income in Rural Indonesia. (M. Soleimani-damaneh, Ed.) PLoS One, 14(4). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0214569
- [60] Elauria, M. M. (2015, June 9). Farm Land Policy and Financing Program for Young Generation in the Philippines. FFTC-MARDI International Seminar. Selangor: Food and Fertilizer Technology Center for Asian and Pacific Region. Retrieved April 20, 2019, from http://ap.ffmpeg.agnet.org/ap_db.php
- [61] Estelle, A. F. (2015, December). An Assessment of Pests and Disease Management on Cocoa Production: Case Study of Ekondo-Titi, Ndiian Division Cameroon. Pan-African Institute for Development - West Africa. Retrieved January 17, 2019, from http://www.paidafrica.org/paidwa/images/data/Assonwa_Estelle.pdf
- [62] Fahmid, I. M., Harun, H., Fahmid, M. M., Saadah, & Busthanul, N. (2018). Competitiveness, Production, and Productivity of Cocoa in Indonesia. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/157/1/012067
- [63] Fairtrade Foundation. (2020). Cocoa Farmers. London, U.K.: Fairtrade Foundation. Retrieved January 03, 2020, from mail@fairtrade.org.uk
- [64] Feder, G. (2016). The Relation Between Farm Size and Farm Productivity. The Role of Family Labor, Supervision and Credit Constraints. Agricultural Economics. doi: 10.1016/S1574-0072(09)04065-1
- [65] Field, J. V., & Schreer, G. E. (2000, September). Age Differences in Personal Risk Perceptions: A Note on an Exploratory Descriptive Study. Risk: Health, Safety & Environment, 11(4). USA: University of New Hampshire.
- [66] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation. (1999). Planting Cocoa Trees in a Plantation. FAO Economic and Social Development Series. Institut africain pour le développement économique et social. Retrieved April 22, 2019, from <http://www.fao.org/3/ad220e/AD220E03.htm>
- [67] Gateau-Rey, L., Tanner, E., Rapidel, B., Marelli, J.-P., & Royaert, S. (2018, July 10). Climate Change Could Threaten Cocoa Production: Effects of 2015-16 El Niño-Related Drought on Cocoa Agroforests in Bahia, Brazil. (L. Schwendenmann, Ed.) PLoS ONE, 13(7). doi:10.1371
- [68] Gayi, Samuel K.; Tsowou, Komi. (2016). Cocoa Industry: Integrating Small Farmers Into the Global Value Chain. Geneva: United Nations. Retrieved November 27, 2018

- [69] Geron, M. P., Llanto, G. M., & Badiola, J. A. (2016, December). Comprehensive Study on Credit Programs to Smallholders. Philippine Institute for Development Studies. Quezon City, Philippines: Philippine Institute for Development Studies. Retrieved from <http://www.pids.gov.ph>
- [70] Gonzales, Y. V. (2015, June 10). Agriculture Key to PH Rural Dev't, Poverty Alleviation. Philippine Daily Inquirer. Retrieved from <http://business.inquirer.net/193343/>
- [71] Grewal, B., Grunfeld, H., & Sheehan, P. (2012). The Contribution of Agricultural Growth to Poverty Reduction. ACIAR Impact Assessment Series.
- [72] Gupta, V. K., Wieland, A. M., & Turban, D. B. (2019). Gender Characterizations in Entrepreneurship: A Multi-Level Investigation of Sex-Role Stereotypes about High-Growth, Commercial, and Social Entrepreneurs. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 57(1), 131-153. doi:10.1111/jsbm.12495
- [73] Hamrick, Danny; Stark, Karina F.; Gereffi, Gary. (2017, April). The Philippines in the Cocoa-Chocolate Global Value Chain. Philippine Board of Investment, Department of Trade and Industry. Manila: Duke University Center on Globalization, Governance & Competitiveness,. Retrieved from <http://www.cggc.duke.edu>
- [74] Hatfield, J. L. (2015). Temperature extremes: Effect on Plant Growth and Development. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 10, 4 - 10. doi:10.1016/j.wace.2015.08.001
- [75] Houston, H., & Wyer, T. (2012). Why Sustainable Cocoa Farming Matters for Rural Development. Center for Strategic and International Studies.
- [76] ICCO. (2016). Quarterly Bulletin of Cocoa Statistics. London: International Cocoa Organization.
- [77] ICCO. (2018). Quarterly Bulletin of Cocoa Statistics. International Cocoa Organization. Côte d'Ivoire: International Cocoa Organization.
- [78] Index Mundi. (n.d.). Philippines - Agricultural land.
- [79] International Cocoa Initiatives. (n.d.). Cocoa Farming an Overview. Cocoa Farming an Overview. Confectionery Manufacturers of Australasia Limited.
- [80] International Cocoa Organization. (2013, March 26). Growing Cocoa. The International Cocoa Organization. Côte d'Ivoire: International Cocoa Organization. Retrieved April 24, 2019, from www.icco.org/about-us.html
- [81] International Cocoa Organization. (2013, April 29). [www.google.com](https://www.icco.org). Retrieved from <https://www.icco.org>: <https://www.icco.org/faq/57-cocoa-production/125-how-much-time-and-money-would-have-to-be-invested-to-get-a-cocoa-farm-operational-and-what-are-the-on-going-production-costs.html>
- [82] Jean-Marc Anga. (2014). The World Cocoa Economy: Current Status, Challenges and Prospects. International Cocoa Organization.
- [83] Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979, March). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263-291. doi:10.2307/1914185
- [84] Kalimang`asi, N. N., Kihombo, A., & Kalimang`asi, N. (2014, October). Technical Efficiency of Cocoa Production through Contract Farming: Empirical Evidence from Kilombero and Kyela Districts. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 4(10). doi:10.29322
- [85] Kehinde, A. (2019, March 27). Determinants of Access to Credit by Cocoa Farmers in Osun State, Nigeria. *Journal of Development and Agricultural Economics*. doi:10.5897/JDAE
- [86] Kimaro, P. J., Towo, N. N., & Moshi, B. H. (2015, February). Determinants of Rural Youth's Participation in Agricultural Activities: The Case of Kahe Eastward on Moshi Rural District, Tanzania. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, III(2). Retrieved from <http://ijecm.co.uk/>
- [87] Koirala, K. H., & Mishra, A. K. (2014, October 09). Impact of Land Ownership on Productivity and Efficiency of Rice Farmers: A Simulated Maximum Likelihood Approach. *Research Gate*. doi:10.13140/2.1.4979.0729
- [88] Kongor, J. E., De Steur, H., Van de Walle, D., Gellynck, X., Afoakwa, E. O., Boeckx, P., & Dewettinck, K. (2018). Constraints for Future Cocoa Production in Ghana. *Agroforestry Systems*. doi:10.1007/s10457-017-0082-9

- [89] Lahive, F., Hadley, P., & Daymond, A. J. (2019). The Physiological Responses of Cacao to the Environment and the Implications for Climate Change Resilience. A Review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 39(1). doi:10.1007/s13593-018-0552-0
- [90] LandBank of the Philippines. (2018). Revised Implementing Guidelines on the Agrarian Production Credit Program. Administrative Order No. 097. Philippines: LandBank.
- [91] Lihgawon, M. B. (2018, October 15). DOST Promotes Cacao Production in Ifugao. Lamut, Ifugao, Philippines.
- [92] Lima, L. J., Almeida, M. H., Nout, M. J., & Zwietering, M. H. (2011). *Theobroma cacao* L., "The Food of the Gods": Quality Determinants of Commercial Cocoa Beans, with Particular Reference to the Impact of Fermentation. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. doi:10.1080/10408391003799913
- [93] Limbo, R. C. (2017, November 22). Enhancing Access to Agricultural Credit for Small Farmers in the Philippines: Policy Recommendations and Innovations. Food and Fertilizer Technology Center for the Asian and Pacific Region: Agricultural Finance. Philippines: Food and Fertilizer Technology Center for the Asian and Pacific Region.
- [94] Lingatong, R. P. (2018, April). Productivity of Cacao Farmers in Calinan District of Davao City. *Tin-Aw*, 2(1). Retrieved from <http://ejournals.ph/form/cite.php?id=13621>
- [95] Lukanu, G., Green, M., & Steve. (2007, October). The Influence of Smallholder Labour Demand on Cultivation of Cash Crops in Northern Mozambique. *Worth T. Development Southern Africa*, 24(4).
- [96] Magat, S., & Secretaria, M. (2007). Coconut-Cacao Intercropping Model. Department of Agriculture - Philippine Coconut Authority.
- [97] Magesa, M. M., Michael, K., & Ko, J. (2014). Access to Agricultural Market Information by Rural Farmers in Tanzania. *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Research*.
- [98] Manual, S. (2017, November 20). PRDP Okays P33-Million Cacao Project in Zamboanga. *Business Mirror*. Manila.
- [99] Martin, C. D. (2016, February 3). "Mesoamerica and the "Food of the Gods,". Massachusetts: Harvard Extension School, Cambridge.
- [100] Matissek, R., Reinecke, J., von Hagen, O., Manning, S., Oliver, U. K., & Manning, S. (2012). Sustainability in the cocoa sector - Review, Challenges and Approaches. *Moderne Ernährung Heute*, Official Journal of the Food Chemistry Institute of the Association of the German Confectionery Industry, 1(27). Retrieved from <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2042037>
- [101] Mawis, S. M. (2018, February 17). Giving Space for Philippine Agriculture. Philippine Institute for Development Studies. Manila, Philippines: Republic of the Philippines - PIDS.
- [102] Mwambi, M. M., Oduol, J., Mshenga, P., & Saidi, M. (2016). Does Contract Farming Improve Smallholder Income? The Case of Avocado Farmers in Kenya. *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*. doi:10.1108/JADEE-05-2013-2019
- [103] Nabua, W. C., Estal, B. R., Ardinez, A. J., & Linganay, D. D. (2013). Cacao Production in the Philippines from 1990 - 2012. *SDSSU Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 1(2).
- [104] National Academy of Science and Technology. (n.d.). Philippine Agriculture 2020: A Strategy for Poverty Reduction, Food Security, Competitiveness, Sustainability, and Justice and Peace. National Academy of Science and Technology. Philippines: DOST - DA.
- [105] National Center for Educational Statistics. (2008). Socio-Economic Status.
- [106] National Wages and Productivity Commission. (2018, July 19). Daily Minimum Wage Rate for Zamboanga del Norte. Dipolog City, Zamboanga del Norte, IX: Department of Labor and Employment.
- [107] New World Encyclopedia. (2017, March 7). Cacao. *New World Encyclopedia*. Paragon House Publisher.
- [108] Nghiem, A. (2016, September 06). Filipino Farmer Strikes Gold with Cocoa. *BBC News*.
- [109] Nwaogwugwu O, N., & Obele K, N. (2017). Factors Limiting Youth Participation in Agricultural - Based Livelihoods in Eleme Local Government Area of Niger Delta. *Scientia Agricultura*. doi:10.15192/PSCP.SA.2017

- [110] Obiri, B. D., Bright, G. A., McDonald, M. A., Anglaaere, L. C., & Cobbina, J. (2017). Financial Analysis of Shaded Cocoa in Ghana. *Agroforestry Systems*. doi:10.1007/s10457-007-9058-5
- [111] Obuobisa-Darko, E. (2015). Credit Access and Adoption of Cocoa Research Innovations in Ghana. *Research on Humanities and Social Sciences*, 5(12). Retrieved January 15, 2019, from <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/1365/2dd677fa37f5fb03f920b434186651202c76.pdf>
- [112] O'Callaghan, P. (2015, March 13). Google. Retrieved March 5, 2019, from <https://grdc.com.au:https://grdc.com.au/resources-and-publications/grdc-update-papers/tab-content/grdc-update-papers/2015/03/cost-of-production-implications-for-profitability>
- [113] Ocampo, K. R. (2018, December 10). Resistance to Technology also Behind Anemic Growth in Farm Sector. Manila, Philippines: Philippine Daily Inquirer. Retrieved from [inquirerdotnet:https://business.inquirer.net](https://business.inquirer.net)
- [114] OECD. (2018). *Agricultural Progress and Poverty Reduction*. France: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- [115] Ofori-Boateng, & Insah, B. (2014). The Impact of Climate Change on Cocoa Production in West Africa. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, 6(3), 296-314.
- [116] Onumah, J. A., E. ..., Al-Hassan, R. m., & Brümmer, B. (2013). Meta-Frontier Analysis of Organic and Conventional Cocoa Production in Ghana. *Agricultural Economics*(59), 271–280.
- [117] Oyedokun, F. O., & Oyelana, A. A. (2017, October 24). Effects of Climate Change on Cocoa Farmers' Production. *Journal of Human Ecology*, 53(3), 233-244. doi:10.1080/09709274.2016.11906976
- [118] Padin, M. G. (2015, October 14). Philippines Needs Block Farms, Less Farmers–Experts. *Business Mirror*. Manila, Philippines: Business Mirror.
- [119] Palicte, Che. (2018, October 18). Villar Prods Cacao Farmers to Venture Into Agri-tourism. *Philippine News Agency*. Davao City, Philippines: Philippine News Agency.
- [120] Pandey, M. (2018, January). The Opportunity-Threat Theory of Decision-Making Under Risk. *Jufgment and Decion Making*, 13(1), 31-41.
- [121] Philippine Cacao. (2017). *2017 - 2022 Philippine Cacao Industry Roadmap*. Philippines: Philippine National Cacao Industry Council.
- [122] Philippine Cacao Industry Roadmap 2021-2025. (2022). Philippines: Department of Agriculture - Bureau of Agricultural Research.
- [123] Philippine Cacao Council. (2016). *2017-2022 Philippine Cacao Industry Roadmap*. Philippines.
- [124] Philippine Statistical Authority. (2015).
- [125] Philippine Statistics Authority. (2016). *Firm-Level Trade Data*. Philippine Statistics Authority, CountrySTAT Philippines. Manila: Philippine Statistics Authority.
- [126] Philippine Statistics Authority. (2017). *A Review of the Agriculture Sector in Western Mindanao Region*. Dipolog City: Philippine Statistics Authority.
- [127] Philippine Statistics Authority. (2018). *A Review of the Agriculture Sector in Western Mindanao Region*. Manila: Philippine Statistics Authority.
- [128] Philippines, S. C. (2015, July 27). RA 10816: An Act Providing for the Development and Promotion of Farm Tourism in the Philippines. Philippines: Congress of the Philippines.
- [129] PNS/BAFPS 104:2011 ICS 67.020. (n.d.). *Philippine National Standard Code of Good Agricultural Practices for Fruit and Vegetable (GAP-FV) Farming*. Philippine National Standard for Cacao or Cocoa Beans (PNS/BAFPS 58:2008).
- [130] Poe, Grace. (2015, Septemebr 14). Senate Resolution No. 920, “Directing the Senate Committee on Agriculture and Food to Look Into, in Aid of Legislation, the Status of the Country's Cacao and Chocolate Industry and to Tap its Full Potentials For the Purpose of Recommending Measures to Boo. Senate Resolution No. . Manila, Philippines: Senate of the Philippines.
- [131] Quartey, J. A., & Asamoah, A. (2018). Assessing the Effect of Microfinance on Cocoa Production in the Ashanti Region of Ghana. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 9(10). Retrieved January 15, 2019, from <https://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/RJFA/article/viewFile/42626/43893>

- [132] Quilloy, K. P. (2015, March). Empowering Small Farmers through Cooperative: The Success Story of Subasta Integrated Farmers Multi-Purpose Cooperative. *International Review of Management and Business Research*, 4(1). Retrieved from <http://www.irmbrjournal.com>
- [133] Ramos, J. V. (2016, May 12). Defining Competitiveness in the Philippines' Cacao Industry. Tuguegarao, Philippines: Options Inc.
- [134] Ramos, J. V. (2018, February 18). Livelihood Opportunities in Cacao Production. DA-BAR In-House Seminar Series. Philippines: Department of Agriculture.
- [135] Real State Lawyer Philippines. (2016, March 7). Category Archives: Fundamentals of Land Title and Registration. Manila, Philippines: L.G. Perez Law and REALTY. doi:[www.http://realestatelawyer.com.ph/category/real-estate/fundamentals-of-land-title-and-registration/](http://realestatelawyer.com.ph/category/real-estate/fundamentals-of-land-title-and-registration/)
- [136] Recio, B. V., De Ade, K. A., Esguerra, C. J., Mandanas, S. A., Masangkay, J. T., Mendania, J. A., & Apritado, J. M. (2014, August 15). Status and Prospects of Agri-Tourism in Selected Municipalities of the 4th District of Batangas. *Asia Pacific Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 2(4). Retrieved September 30, 2019, from www.apjmr.com
- [137] Rodrigues, R. R., Haarsma, R. J., Campos, E. J., & Ambrizzi, T. (2011). The Impacts of Inter-El Niño Variability on the Tropical Atlantic and Northeast Brazil Climate. *Journal of Climate*, 24. doi:10.1175/2011JCLI3983.1
- [138] Roger Plant. (1999). land Rights. Circle of Rights: Economic, Social & Cultural Rights Activism Training Resource. University of Minnesota Human Rights Library.
- [139] Rola, A. C. (2000, April). Research Program Planning for Natural Resource Management: A Background Analysis. Philippine Institute for Development Studies - Discussion Paper Series. Manila, Philippines: Philippine Institute for Development Studies. Retrieved January 2, 2019, from <https://dirp4.pids.gov.ph/ris/pdf/pidsdps0009.pdf>
- [140] S., O. A., Ogunlade, M., & Oluyole, K. (2016, March 15). Fertilizer use and Cocoa Production in Cross River State, Nigeria. *ARNP Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 5(3). Retrieved June 30, 2019, from www.arnpjournals.com
- [141] Sadiq, M. N., & Ishaq, H. M. (2014). The Effect of Demographic Factors on the Behavior of Investors during the Choice of Investment: Evidence from Twin Cities of Pakistan. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research: CFinance*, 14(3). Retrieved June 3, 2019, from <https://globaljournals.org>
- [142] Salazar, Marlet D. (2015, February 22). Cacao offers PH Sweet Growth Prospects. *Philippine Daily Inquirer*.
- [143] Santiago, A., & Roxas, F. (2015, August 25). Reviving Farming Interest in the Philippines through Agricultural Entrepreneurship Education. *Journal of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Community Development*, 5(4), 15-27. doi:10.5304/jafscd
- [144] Sarmiento, J. M., Acuña, T. R., & Obsioma, S. J. (2015). Reaping Golden Cacao Pods: A Strategic Management Approach. 1st Global Agribusiness Management and Entrepreneurship Conference (pp. 130-141). Davao City: Research Gate. doi:1st Global Agribusiness Management and Entrepreneurship Conference
- [145] Schaller, N. (1993, September). The Concept of Agricultural Sustainability. *Agriculture, Ecosystem & Environment*, 46(1-4), 89-97. USA: Elsevier B.V. doi:10.1016/0167-8809(93)90016-I
- [146] Schroth, G., Läderach, P., Martinez-Valle, A. I., Bunn, C., & Jassogne, L. (2016, June 15). Vulnerability to Climate Change of Cocoa in West Africa: Patterns, Opportunities and Limits to Adaptation. (D. Barcelo, Ed.) Elsevier - *Science of the Total Environment*, 556, 231-241. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.03.024
- [147] Sefriadi, H., Fleming, E., Villano, R. A., & Patrick, I. (2011). The Potential of Cacao Agribusiness for Poverty Alleviation in West Sumatra. Australian Agricultural and Resource Economics Society.
- [148] Segun, O. P. (2016, January 2). Investment in Cocoa Planting and Rehabilitation by Cocoa Farmers in Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Economics and Empirical Research*, 3(1), 17-24.

- [149] Segun, O. P. (2016). Investment in Cocoa Planting and Rehabilitation by Cocoa Farmers in Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Economics and Empirical Research*, Vol. 3 (No. 1), 17-24.
- [150] Shmailan, A. B. (2016, December 25). Compare the Characteristics of Male and Female Entrepreneurs as Explorative Study. *Journal of Entrepreneurship & Organization Management*. doi:10.4172/2169-026X.1000203
- [151] Simeon, L. M. (2016, April 23). DA Allots P16 M for Cacao farming in Zamboanga. *The Philippine Star*. Manila.
- [152] Simeon, L. M. (2016, June 12). Farm Tourism: A New Bright Spot for Philippine Economy. Manila, Philippines: *The Philippine Star*.
- [153] Sonwa, D. J., Weise, S., Adesina, A., Nkongmeneck, A. B., Tchatat, M., & Ndoeye, O. (2015). Production constraints on cocoa agroforestry systems in West and Central Africa: The Need for Integrated Pest Management and Multi-Institutional Approaches. *Forestry Chronicle*. doi:10.5558/tfc81345-3
- [154] Stone, G. D., & Glover, D. (2016, April 16). Disembedding Grain: Golden Rice, the Green Revolution, and Heirloom Seeds in the Philippines. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 34(1), 87-102. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-016-9696-1
- [155] Sumalde, Z. M., & Quillooy, K. P. (2015, October 7). Improving Marketing Efficiency through Agricultural Cooperatives: Successful Cases in the Philippines. FFTC Agricultural Policy Platform. Retrieved September 29, 2019, from <http://ap.ffc.agnet.org/index.php>
- [156] Sun Star Philippines. (2018, January 26). Investment Opportunities Available for Emerging Cacao Industry. SunStar Philippines.
- [157] SunStar Philippines. (2013, July 2). DAR, Food Firm Sign MOA for Cacao Production in Mindanao. Cagayan de Oro, Philippines: SunStar Philippines. Retrieved January 10, 2020, from <https://www.sunstar.com.ph/article/292307>
- [158] Taphee, B. G., Musa, Y. H., & Vosanka, I. P. (2015). Economic Efficiency of Cocoa Production in Gashaka Local Government Area, Taraba State, Nigeria. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*. doi:10.5901/mjss.2015.v6n1s1p570
- [159] Teixeira, P. J., Thomazella, D. P., & G., P. G. (2015, October 22). Time for Chocolate: Current Understanding and New Perspectives on Cacao Witches' Broom Disease Research. (J. Heitman, Ed.) *PLoS Pathogens*, 10(11). doi:10.1371
- [160] Teixeira, P. J., Thomazella, D. P., & Pereira, G. A. (2015, October 22). Time for Chocolate: Current Understanding and New Perspectives on Cacao Witches' Broom Disease Research. (J. Heitman, Ed.) *Plos Pathogens*, 11(10). doi:10.13711005130
- [161] The Philippine Star. (2018, January 7). Cocoa Industry Boom to Spur Philippines Farm Tourism. Manila, Philippines: *The Philippine Star*.
- [162] Todaro, M. P., & Smith, S. C. (2004). *Economic Development*. Singapore: Pearson Education.
- [163] Torrentira, M. J. (2015). *Increasing Cacao Production Through Formative and Supportive Propping: An Innovation in Cultural Practices*. Davao City, Philippines: University of Southeastern Philippines.
- [164] Tothmihaly, A. (2017). How Low is the Price Elasticity in the Global Cocoa Market? Manuscript.
- [165] UN Comtrade. (2016, October 25). World Cocoa Imports by All Reporters and Partners, 2005-2015. Retrieved from United Nations Statistics Division (UNSD): <http://unsd.com>
- [166] United Cacao Limited SEZC. (2018). *Global Cacao Market*. Peru: United Cacao Limited SEZC.
- [167] United Nations. (2014). UN Network on Food Security and Rural Development. Agriculture. United Nations. Retrieved August 21, 2018, from http://www.rdfs.net/themes/agriculture_en.htm
- [168] Valleser, V. C., & Valleser, J. L. (2018, July 6). Growth Performance of Different Cacao Clones at Varying Rates of Sodium Chloride Fertilization. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publication*, 8(7). Philippines: *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications (IJSRP)*. doi:10.29322/IJSRP.8.7.2018.p7905
- [169] Vargas, A. (2003, March). *The Philippines Country Brief: Property Rights and Land Markets*. Madison, USA: Land Tenure Center.

- [170] Verdugo, G. A. (2018, March 13). Innovative Self-concept of Micro-entrepreneurs: Perception of Barriers and Intention to Invest. *Brazilian Administration Review*, 15(2). doi:10.1590/1807-7692bar2018170085
- [171] Villar, Cynthia A. (2016, July 4). Senate Bill No. 320, “An Act Establishing a National Program for the Cacao Industry Providing Funds Therefor and for Other Purposes. Manila, Philippines.
- [172] Weiss, C. (1995). Nothing as Practical as Good Theory: Exploring Theory-Based Evaluation for Comprehensive Community Initiatives for Children and Families. In C. Weiss, & A. K. J. Connell (Ed.), ‘New Approaches to Evaluating Community Initiatives’. Washington, DC, USA: Aspen Institute.
- [173] World Bank. (2014). Poverty Overview. World Bank. Retrieved August 21, 2018, from <http://worldbank.org/en/topic/poverty/overview>
- [174] Yahaya, A. M., Karli, B., & Gül, M. (2015). Economic Analysis of Cocoa Production in Ghana: The Case of Eastern Region. *Custos e Agronegocio*.
- [175] Yan, J., Chen, C., & Hu, B. (2019). Farm size and production efficiency in Chinese agriculture: output and profit. *China Agricultural Economic Review*, 11(1), 20-38.
- [176] Zacal, R. G., Virador, L. B., & Cañedo, L. P. (2019, March). State of Selected agri-tourism Venture in Bohol, Philippines. *International Journal of Sustainability, Education and Global Creative Economic*, 2(1). Retrieved September 30, 2019, from <https://www.journals.segce.com/index.php/IJSEGCE>
- [177] Zhen, L., & Routray, J. (2003). Operational Indicators for Measuring Agricultural Sustainability in Developing Countries. *Environmental Management*(1), 32, 34–46.
- [178] ZN Provincial Agriculture Office. (2019). I-REAP Highlights of Implementation 2019. Department of Agriculture. Dipolog City: ZN Provincial Agriculture Office.